Case: 3:11-cv-00090-MPM Doc #: 1 Filed: 06/30/11 1 of 7 PageID #: 1

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI WESTERN DIVISION

JUN 3 0 2011

DAVID CREWS CLERK

BY

Deputy

**RODERICK KEITH GRAY** 

**PLAINTIFF** 

**VERSUS** 

NO. 3:11-CV-090-MP/4-5AA

CITY OF BRUCE, MISSISSIPPI

**DEFENDANT** 

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

#### **COMPLAINT**

This is an action for actual damages for race discrimination. Plaintiff shows the Court the following facts to support this action:

1.

Plaintiff RODERICK KEITH GRAY is a black, adult resident citizen of 116 CR 200, Pittsboro, Mississippi 38951.

2.

Defendant, CITY OF BRUCE, MISSISSIPPI, is a political subdivision of the State of Mississippi and may be served with process by service upon its Mayor, Robert Edward Oakley, at 100 Public Square, Bruce, Mississippi 38915.

3.

This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and civil rights jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1343, for a cause of action arising under Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, under 42 U.S.C. § 1981 and under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Plaintiff's claims are authorized by 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

4.

Plaintiff has filed the EEOC charge, attached hereto as Exhibit "A," and has received the right-to-sue letter, attached hereto as Exhibit "B."

5.

Plaintiff has been employed by Defendant for twenty (20) years in the Public Works Department. Plaintiff has a Bachelor of Science degree and has the required certifications for Water Operation and Waste Water Operator. Plaintiff's work performance throughout the years has been excellent.

6.

In December 2009, the Director of the Public Works Department retired. Plaintiff was the logical candidate for the position. Nevertheless, the Defendant, acting through its Mayor, caused the job to be posted on the internet resulting in numerous applications.

7.

The Board of Aldermen made a determination that Plaintiff and two out-of-state white applicants were the top three candidates for the position. Plaintiff was actually, by far, the best qualified because of his previous experience. The Board, by a three-to-two vote, strictly along racial lines, decided to offer the job to one of the white applicants. This first white applicant would only accept the position for a salary of \$60,000.00, and the Board voted not to accept him allegedly because of his salary requirements.

8.

The Board then offered the job to a second white applicant who demanded the salary of \$80,000.00, at which point he was rejected.

Case: 3:11-cv-00090-MPM Doc #: 1 Filed: 06/30/11 3 of 7 PageID #: 3

9.

Rather than offer Plaintiff the job, who was then only making \$31,000.00 per year, the Defendant re-offered the job to the first white applicant at the salary of \$60,000.00.

10.

The applicant who was hired had substantially less experience than Plaintiff and depends upon Plaintiff to operate the Public Works Department.

11.

Plaintiff was not promoted to the position of Director of Public Works because of his race (black).

12.

Plaintiff has suffered anxiety and stress as a result of Defendant's racist actions taken through the three white members of the Board of Aldermen for Defendant and the Mayor.

#### **REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

Plaintiff requests actual damages in an amount to be determined by a jury, for an injunction requiring Defendant to promote Plaintiff to the position of the Director of the Public Works Department and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses.

Respectfully submitted,
WAIDE & ASSOCIATES, P.A.

BY: \_\_\_\_\_\_ JIM WAIDE MS BAR NO. 6857 RACHEL M. PIERCE MS BAR NO. 100420 Department and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses.

Respectfully submitted,

WAIDE & ASSOCIATES, P.A.

RV.

IM WAIDE

MS BAR NO. 6857 RACHEL M. PIERCE MS BAR NO. 100420 WAIDE & ASSOCIATES, P.A. ATTORNEYS AT LAW POST OFFICE BOX 1357 TUPELO, MISSISSIPPI 38802 TELEPHONE: 662-842-7324 FACSIMILE: 662-842-8056 EMAIL: waide@waidelaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Case: 3:11	-cv-00090-MPM Doc #: 1	Filed: 06/30/11 6	of 7 Pagel PHAR	E NUMBER	
CHARGE OF DIS	CRIMINATION				
This form is affected by the Privacy A Statement before completing this form.		423-2010-01778			
				and EEOC	
		if any	<u> </u>		
NAME (Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)  PODED TOY WEITH CRAY  1 State or local Agency, if any  HOME TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)  662-412-2131					
RODERICK KEITH GRAY   662-412-2131   STREET ADDRESS   CITY, STATE AND ZIP CODE				DATE OF BIRTH	
				06/29/1965	
116 County Road 200 Pittsbord, MS 38931					
NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, LABOR ORGANIZATION, EMPLOYMENT AGENCY, APPRENTICESHIP COMMITTEE, STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY WHO DISCRIMINATED AGAINST ME (If more than one list below.)					
NAME	NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES, MEMBERS		TELEPHONE Code)	(Include Area	
City of Bruce	15+				
				662-983-2453	
0.70	Y, STATE AND ZIP CODE		<del> </del>	COUNTY	
D111221 1221112	uce, MS 38915			Calhoun	
CAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es))			DATE DISCRIMINATI EARLIEST (ADEA/EF	ON TOOK PLACE PA) LATEST (ALL)	
X RACE COLOR SEX RELIGION AGE				7/6/	
RETALIATION NATIONAL DISABILITY (Other)			continuing action		
				turing decoron	
THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional paper is needed, attach extra sheet(s)):					
I have been employed in the Public Works Department for the City of Bruce for nineteen (19) years. I am the senior member of the Public Works Department. I have a Bachelor of Science degree, and have the State-required certifications both as Water Operator and Waste Water Operator.					
In December 2009, the Director of the Public Works Department retired. It was logical that I would be moved into the position. However, the Mayor (white), posted the job on the Internet, resulting in applicants from all over the country.					
The Board decided that the job would be offered to one of					
the three of us. The job was offered to one of the white applicants, but his sainty requirements were 500,000,000 per year, many of \$80,000.00, and the					
grounds that the Board could not afford him. The job was then offered to the second white applicant, set in \$35,000.00-\$40,000.00. However, rather Board rejected him. It was logical that the Board would turn to me, since my salary requirements were only \$35,000.00-\$40,000.00. However, rather than offer me the job, the decision was made by the Board to offer the job to the first white applicant at a salary of \$60,000.00. Additionally, the white					
than offer me the job, the decision was me	ade by the Board to offer the job to the job to the job of Interim Director, until the ne	w director takes over.	a saidi y or woo, correct	,,	
I request the EEOC to investigate to determine whether I have been the victim of race discrimination based upon my race (black) in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended.  NOTARY - (When necessary for State and Local Requirements)					
of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended.					
			AUG EIVE		
		E	5 200	)	
		NOTARY - (When nec	es for State and Lo	cal Requirements)	
I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or telephone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures.  I swear or affirm that I have my knowledge, information					
			re read the above charge ar n and belief.	nd that it is true to the best of	
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.  SIGNATURE OF COMP					
		STIBSCRIBED AND SM	ORN TO BEFORE ME T	HIS DATE	
8-2-2010 Kodensk Flori Date Charging Party (Signature)					
EEOC FORM 5 (Test 10/94)		Δ			

00210796.WPD

### Case: 3:11-cv-00090-MPM Doc #: 1 Filed: 06/30/11 7 of 7 PageID #: 7



## U.S. Department of Justice

Civil Rights Division
NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE
WITHIN 90 DAYS

CERTIFIED MAIL 2011 0588

950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Karen Ferguson, EMP, PHB, Room 4239 Washington, DC 20530

April 7, 2011

Mr. Roderick K. Gray c/o Jim Waide, Esquire Law Office of Waide & Associates Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1357 Tupelo, MS 38802-1357

Re: EEOC Charge Against City of Bruce

No. 423201001778

Dear Mr. Gray:

Because you filed the above charge with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, and more than 180 days have elapsed since the date the Commission assumed jurisdiction over the charge, and no suit based thereon has been filed by this Department, and because you through your attorney have specifically requested this Notice, you are hereby notified that you have the right to institute a civil action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 2000e, et seq., against the above-named respondent.

If you choose to commence a civil action, such suit must be filed in the appropriate Court within 90 days of your receipt of this Notice.

The investigative file pertaining to your case is located in the EEOC Jackson Area Office, Jackson, MS.

This Notice should not be taken to mean that the Department of Justice has made a judgment as to whether or not your case is meritorious.

Sincerely,

Thomas E. Perez
Assistant Attorney General
Civil Rights Division

by

Karen L. Ferguson

Supervisory Civil Rights Analyst Employment Litigation Section

cc: Jackson Area Office, EEOC City of Bruce

